Contents

6 Attribution of Sulfur and Visibility Impairment 6–1

6.1 Introduction 6–1

6.2 Emissions 6–3

6.2.1 Regional Emissions of SO_2 and NO_x 6–3

6.2.2 CD_4 Emissions - Plume and Background measurements 6–6

6.2.3 Scaling of CD_4 Data 6–9

6.3 Deposition and Transformation Rates 6–11

6.3.1 Dry Deposition Rates 6–11

6.3.2 SO_2 Oxidation 6–16

6.4 Analysis of Meteorological Conditions 6–22

6.4.1 Summary of Upper-level Winds in Page 6–22

6.4.2 Trajectory Analysis 6–28

6.4.3 Calculation of Potential NGS Plume Position and Age (Streakline Analysis) . 6–31

6.4.4 Summary of Meteorological Analysis of WHITEX Study Period 6–39

6.5 Spatial and Temporal Trends in Sulfates 6–39

6.5.1 Discussion of Sulfate Time Histories 6–39

6.5.2 Discussion of Spatial Sulfate Patterns 6–42

6.5.3 Empirical Orthogonal Function Analysis 6–50

6.6 Assessment of Quantitative Attribution Techniques 6–59

6.6.1 Tracer Mass Balance Regression 6–59

6.6.2 Differential Mass Balance (DMB) 6–97

6.6.3 Chemical Mass Balance 6–118

6.7 Attribution of Visibility Impairment 6–125

6.7.1 Results for Hopi Point 6–127

6.7.2 Results for Page 6–127

List of Tables

6.1 Regional emissions in units of tons/day from coal fired power plants, copper smelters, and large urban areas. . . . 6–7
6.2 CD_4 samples from NGS plume and upwind, units are ppt. . . . 6–8
6.3 Concentration of CD_4 (ppt), SO_2 (ng/m^3), and trace elements (μg/m^3) found in the Navajo Generating Station plume. . . . 6–10
6.4 Total sulfur ($SO_2/2 + SO_4/3$) to CD_4, total sulfur to Se and Se to CD_4 ratios found in the NGS plume. Scaled data refers to scaling the CD_4 release rate to 2.5 mg of CD_4 to one megawatt-hour generated by NGS. . . . 6–10
6.5 Deposition velocities for SO_2 for various types of surfaces (adapted from Hicks (1984)).6–17
6.6 Deposition velocities for submicron particles (e.g., sulfate) for various types of surfaces (adapted from Hicks (1984)). . . . 6–18
6.7 Field measurements of SO_2 oxidation rates in power plant plumes (adapted from Seinfeld (1986). . . . 6–23
6.8 Upper air winds measured in Page, Arizona at three levels (300, 600, 1000 m agl) during the WHITEX study, January–February 1987. . . . 6–24
6.8 cont. . . . 6–25
6.9 Classification of WHITEX into 13 time periods and their representative wind directions and synoptic meteorological categories. . . . 6–28
6.10 Estimated age of the Navajo Generating Station plume (in hours) at various locations in the WHITEX study region, January–February 1987. . . . 6–33
6.10 cont. . . . 6–34
6.11 Comparison of mean estimated NGS plume age (hours) at sites during WHITEX, January–February 1987. . . . 6–35
6.12 Sulfate episodes during the WHITEX study, January-February 1987 at Glen Canyon National Recreation Area (Page,Arizona). Parentheses indicate that a slight modification in winds would be required for the NGS plume to impact the site. . . . 6–35
6.13 Sulfate episodes during the WHITEX study, January-February 1987 at Hopi Point, Grand Canyon National Park. Parentheses indicate that a slight modification in winds would be required for the NGS plume to impact the site. . . . 6–36
6.14 Sulfate episodes during the WHITEX study, January-February 1987 at Canyonlands National Park. Parentheses indicate that a slight modification in winds would be required for the NGS plume to impact the site. . . . 6–36
6.15 Sulfate episodes during the WHITEX study, January-February 1987 at Bullfrog, Utah. Parentheses indicate that a slight modification in winds would be required for the NGS plume to impact the site. . . . 6–37
6.16 Sulfate episodes during the WHITEX study, January-February 1987 at Hite, Utah. Parentheses indicate that a slight modification in winds would be required for the NGS plume to impact the site. . . . 6–37

6.17 Sulfate episodes during the WHITEX study, January-February 1987 at Green River, Utah. Parentheses indicate that a slight modification in winds would be required for the NGS plume to impact the site. 6–38
6.18 Sulfate episodes during the WHITEX study, January-February 1987 at Mexican Hat, Utah. Parentheses indicate that a slight modification in winds would be required for the NGS plume to impact the site. 6–38
6.19 Sulfate episodes during the WHITEX study, January-February 1987 at Monticello, Utah. 6–39
6.20 Summary of meteorological analysis of WHITEX: synoptic meteorology, backward air mass trajectories, Page upper-level winds, and calculated NGS plume locations. . 6–40
6.21 Eigenvalues of the sulfur correlation matrix. 6–51
6.22 Eigenvalues and variance explained by each EOF. 6–52
6.23 Results of TMBR regressions for total sulfur at Hopi Point. 6–62
6.24 Results of TMBR regressions for sulfate sulfur at Hopi Point. 6–63
6.25 Results of TMBR regressions for nitrate, organic carbon, and elemental carbon at Hopi Point. 6–64
6.26 Percent of mean sulfate sulfur at Hopi Point attributed to NGS, all power plants, and power plants other than NGS by TMBR analyses. The $As \times RH?$ column refers to whether or not arsenic was multiplied by relative humidity in the TMBR analysis. 6–65
6.27 TMBR source apportionment of sulfate sulfur ($\mu g/m^3$) at Hopi Point using ODR regression with independent variables $SCD_4 \times$ RH and $As \times$ RH for days with CD_4.6–72
6.28 Fractions of sulfate sulfur attributed by TMBR to each source at Hopi Point using ODR regression with independent variables $SCD_4 \times$ RH and $As \times$ RH for days with CD_4. 6–73
6.29 TMBR source apportionment of sulfate sulfur ($\mu g/m^3$) at Hopi Point using OLS regression with independent variables $SCD_4 \times$ RH and $As \times$ RH for days with CD_4.6–74
6.30 Fractions of sulfate sulfur attributed by TMBR to each source at Hopi Point using OLS regression with independent variables $SCD_4 \times$ RH and $As \times$ RH for days with CD_4. 6–75
6.31 TMBR source apportionment of sulfate sulfur ($\mu g/m^3$) at Hopi Point using OLS regression with independent variables $Se \times$ RH and $As \times$ RH for days when CD_4 was analyzed. 6–78
6.32 Fractions of sulfate sulfur attributed to each source by TMBR at Hopi Point using OLS regression with independent variables $Se \times$ RH and $As \times$ RH for days when CD_4 was analyzed. 6–79
6.33 TMBR source apportionment of sulfate sulfur ($\mu g/m^3$) at Hopi Point using OLS regression with independent variables $Se \times$ RH and $As \times$ RH for all days. 6–80
6.34 Fraction of sulfate sulfur attributed to each source TMBR at Hopi Point using OLS regression with independent variables $Se \times$ RH and $As \times$ RH for all days. 6–81
6.35 TMBR source apportionment of sulfate sulfur ($\mu g/m^3$) at Hopi Point using OLS regression. 6–83
6.36 Fractions of sulfate sulfur attributed to each source by TMBR at Hopi Point using OLS regression. 6–84
6.37 Results of TMBR regressions for total sulfur at Page. "All" days refers to all days when SCD_4 data were available. "Episode" is JD 37.8–45.3. 6–86

6.38 Results of TMBR regressions for sulfate sulfur at Page. JD 42.8–44.3 were not included in any regressions. "All" days refers to all days when SCD_4 was available. "Episode" is JD 37.8–45.3. Source attribution by method 1 assumes the excess sulfur on days 42.8–44.3 is all due to NGS. Method 2 assumes the excess sulfur is due to other sources. 6–89
6.39 Results of CMB analysis for Page on days 42.8–44.3 using Page and Green River data taken on days 40.3–42.3 as profiles. 6–92
6.40 Results of TMBR regressions for nitrate, organic carbon, and elemental carbon at Page. 6–96
6.41 Time history of measured SO_4 ($\mu g/m^3$), NGS SO_4 ($\mu g/m^3$) and fraction of ambient sulfate due to NGS based on DMB analysis for Hopi Point. 6–106
6.42 Time history of measured SO_2 ($\mu g/m^3$), NGS SO_2 ($\mu g/m^3$) and fraction of ambient SO_2 due to NGS based on DMB analysis for Hopi Point. 6–108
6.43 Time history of measured total sulfur ($\mu g/m^3$), NGS total sulfur ($\mu g/m^3$) and fraction of ambient total sulfur due to NGS based on DMB analysis for Hopi Point. . . . 6–110
6.44 Summary of DMB results: Overall average NGS contribution to SO_2 and sulfate at Page, Arizona and Hopi Point as a function of estimated NGS plume age. 6–114
6.45 Consensus literature light extinction efficiencies in m^2/g. 6–126
6.46 Apportionment of non-Rayleigh light extinction (1/Km) at Hopi Point based on TMBR results for sulfate sulfur and literature extinction efficiencies. 6–129
6.47 Fractions of non-Rayleigh light extinction due to each species at Hopi Point based on TMBR and literature extinction efficiencies. 6–130
6.48 Non-Rayleigh light extinction (1/Km) attributed to each chemical species at Page. Results are based on the TMBR analysis which attributes the excess sulfate to NGS (method 1) and literature extinction efficiencies. 6–132
6.49 Fraction of non-Rayleigh light extinction attributed to each chemical species at Page. Results are based on the TMBR analysis which attributes the excess sulfate to NGS (method 1) and literature extinction efficiencies. 6–133
6.50 Non-Rayleigh light extinction (1/Km) attributed to each chemical species at Page. Results are based on the TMBR analysis which attributes the excess sulfate to other sources (method 2) and literature extinction efficiencies. 6–135
6.51 Fraction of non-Rayleigh light extinction attributed to each chemical species at Page. Results are based on the TMBR analysis which attributes the excess sulfate to other sources (method 2) and literature extinction efficiencies. 6–136

List of Figures

6.1 a. Three dimensional perspective of the WHITEX study region. 6–2
6.1 b. Three dimensional perspective of the Grand Canyon/Page area. 6–2
6.2 Power generated by the Navajo Generating Station during the WHITEX experiment. 6–4
6.3 Emission rates of sulfur dioxide (SO_2), nitrogen oxides (NO_x), and particulates from the Navajo Generating Station during the WHITEX experiment. 6–5
6.4 Approximate SO_2 emissions from major point sources and urban areas in the southwest United States for 1987. 6–6
6.5 Raw CD_4 concentrations (ppt), CD_4 release rate (mg/MWe-h), and CD_4 (ppt) scaled to release rate. 6–11
6.6 Scatter plot of CD_4 scaled to 2.5 mg CD_4/Megawatt and Se ($\mu g/m^3$). 6–12
6.7 Scaled CD_4 (ppt) plotted against Se ($\mu g/m^3$). * corresponds to data gathered on JD = 42.8, 43.3, 43.8 and 44.3. The equation for the regression line is $Se = 0.00027 \pm 0.00011 + (0.092 \pm 0.011)(CD_4)$. 6–13
6.8 CD_4 concentrations, CD_4 release rate, CD_4 concentrations scaled to release rate, apparent CD_4 release rate accounting for Unit 3, and CD_4 concentrations scaled to the apparent release rate at Page. 6–14
6.9 Combination of resistances that affect the total dry deposition velocity v_d (Source: Wesely, 1989). 6–15
6.10 Calculated gas–phase SO_2 oxidation rates as a function of time of day and season (Source: Latimer et al., 1985). 6–20
6.11 Calculated aqueous–phase SO_2 oxidation rates as a function of droplet pH for assumed concentrations of oxidants (Source: Martin, 1984). 6–21
6.12 Upper-level wind speed measured in Page during WHITEX, January–February 1987. 6–26
6.12 Upper-level wind speed measured in Page during WHITEX, January–February 1987. 6–26
6.12 Upper-level wind speed measured in Page during WHITEX, January–February 1987. 6–27
6.13 Upper-level wind direction measured in Page, Arizona during WHITEX, January–February 1987. 6–29
6.13 Upper-level wind direction measured in Page, Arizona during WHITEX, January–February 1987. 6–29
6.13 Upper-level wind direction measured in Page, Arizona during WHITEX, January–February 1987. 6–30
6.14 Spatial distribution of fine sulfur for JD 8 hour 20. 6–42
6.15 Receptor site locations for all concentration contour plots. The name of the site begins at the location. 6–43
6.16 Spatial distribution of fine sulfur for JD 11 hour 8. 6–44
6.17 Spatial distribution of fine sulfur for JD 15 hour 20. 6–44
6.18 Spatial distribution of fine sulfur for JD 19 hour 8. 6–45
6.19 Spatial distribution of fine sulfur for JD 21 hour 8. 6–46

6.20 Spatial distribution of fine sulfur for JD 23 hour 8. 6–46
6.21 Spatial distribution of fine sulfur for JD 34 hour 20. 6–47
6.22 Spatial distribution of fine sulfur for JD 40 hour 8. 6–48
6.23 Spatial distribution of fine sulfur for JD 42 hour 8. 6–48
6.24 Spatial distribution of fine sulfur for JD 44 hour 8. 6–49
6.25 Spatial distribution of fine sulfur for JD 45 hour 8. 6–49
6.26 Time weighting factors for the first 6 unrotated EOFs. 6–53
6.27 Map of unrotated EOF 1. Values on the map are weighted deviations from the mean sulfate sulfur concentrations at each site in ng/m^3. 6–53
6.28 Map of unrotated EOF 2. Values on the map are weighted deviations from the mean sulfate sulfur concentrations at each site in ng/m^3. 6–54
6.29 Map of unrotated EOF 3. Values on the map are weighted deviations from the mean sulfate sulfur concentrations at each site in ng/m^3. 6–54
6.30 Map of unrotated EOF 4. Values on the map are weighted deviations from the mean sulfate sulfur concentrations at each site in ng/m^3. 6–55
6.31 Map of unrotated EOF 5. Values on the map are weighted deviations from the mean sulfate sulfur concentrations at each site in ng/m^3. 6–55
6.32 Map of unrotated EOF 6. Values on the map are weighted deviations from the mean sulfate sulfur concentrations at each site in ng/m^3. 6–56
6.33 Map of mean fine sulfur concentrations. 6–57
6.34 Time weighting factors for 2 rotated EOFs. 6–58
6.35 Map of rotated EOF 1 when 2 EOFs are rotated. Values on the map are weighted deviations from the mean sulfate sulfur concentrations at each site in ng/m^3. 6–58
6.36 Map of rotated EOF 2 when 2 EOFs are rotated. Values on the map are weighted deviations from the mean sulfate sulfur concentrations at each site in ng/m^3. 6–59
6.37 Unscaled CD_4 (ppt), CD_4 scaled to CD_4 release rate and air mass age (ppt), RH (%) and SO_4 ($\mu g/m^3$) concentrations at Hopi Point. 6–61
6.38 Scatter plot of SCD_4 *vs* particulate nitrate at Hopi Point along with the OLS and ODR regression lines. 6–64
6.39 Scatter plot of SCD_4 *vs* organic carbon at Hopi Point along with the OLS and ODR regression lines. 6–65
6.40 Scatter plot of SCD_4 *vs* light absorbing carbon at Hopi Point along with the OLS and ODR regression lines. 6–66
6.41 TMBR source apportionment of sulfate sulfur ($\mu g/m^3$) at Hopi Point using ODR regression with independent variables SCD_4 × RH and As × RH for days with CD_4.6–68
6.42 TMBR source apportionment of sulfate sulfur ($\mu g/m^3$) at Hopi Point using OLS regression with independent variables SCD_4 × RH and As × RH for days with CD_4.6–69
6.43 Scatter plot of predicted *vs* measured sulfate sulfur ($\mu g/m^3$) at Hopi Point. Results from ODR regression with independent variables SCD_4 × RH and As × RH for days with CD_4. 6–70
6.44 Scatter plot of predicted *vs* measured sulfate sulfur ($\mu g/m^3$) at Hopi Point using OLS regression with independent variables SCD_4 × RH and As × RH for days with CD_4. 6–71
6.45 TMBR source apportionment of sulfate sulfur ($\mu g/m^3$) at Hopi Point using OLS regression with independent variables Se × RH and As × RH for days when CD_4 was analyzed. 6–76
6.46 TMBR source apportionment of sulfate sulfur ($\mu g/m^3$) at Hopi Point using OLS regression with independent variables Se × RH and As × RH for all days. 6–77

6.47 TMBR source apportionment of sulfate sulfur ($\mu g/m^3$) at Hopi Point using OLS regression. 6–82
6.48 Scatter plot of total sulfur *vs* SCD_4 at Page, along with the OLS and ODR regression lines. The four circled points correspond to time periods JD 42.8–44.3. 6–86
6.49 Scatter plot of SCD_4 *vs* sulfate sulfur for all times periods with SCD_4 at Page along with OLS and ODR regression lines. The four circled points correspond to time periods JD 42.8–44.3. 6–87
6.50 Scatter plot of SCD_4 *vs* sulfate sulfur at Page for the high sulfate episode (JD 37.8–45.3) along with OLS and ODR regression lines. The four circled points correspond to time periods JD 42.8–44.3. 6–88
6.51 Temporal plot showing SO_2 and SO_4 sulfur concentrations in Page, Arizona. The bars are additive. The height of the two bars is the sum of SO_2 and SO_4 sulfur concentrations. 6–90
6.52 Scatterplot of sulfate sulfur *vs* relative humidity at Page for SCD_4 days. 6–93
6.53 Temporal plot of source apportionment of sulfate sulfur at Page based on TMBR assuming that all sulfur except the intercept term is due to NGS. 6–94
6.54 Temporal plot of source apportionment of sulfate sulfur at Page based on TMBR assuming that all sulfur not associated with SCD_4 is due to other sources. 6–95
6.55 Scatter plot of SCD_4 *vs* particulate nitrate at Page along with the OLS and ODR regression lines. 6–98
6.56 Scatter plot of SCD_4 *vs* organic carbon at Page along with the OLS and ODR regression lines. 6–99
6.57 Scatter plot of SCD_4 *vs* light absorbing carbon at Page along with the OLS and ODR regression lines. 6–100
6.58 a. Combinations of deposition velocities for SO_2 and sulfate and SO_2 oxidation rates having $R^2 > 0.70$. $k_c = 1.0\%/h \times RH/100$. 6–101
6.58 b. Combinations of deposition velocities for SO_2 and sulfate and SO_2 oxidation rates having $R^2 > 0.70$. $k_c = 1.5\%/h \times RH/100$. 6–102
6.58 c. Combinations of deposition velocities for SO_2 and sulfate and SO_2 oxidation rates having $R^2 > 0.70$. $k_c = 2.0\%/h \times RH/100$. 6–102
6.58 d. Combinations of deposition velocities for SO_2 and sulfate and SO_2 oxidation rates having $R^2 > 0.70$. $k_c = 2.5\%/h \times RH/100$. 6–103
6.58 e. Combinations of deposition velocities for SO_2 and sulfate and SO_2 oxidation rates having $R^2 > 0.70$. $k_c = 3.0\%/h \times RH/100$. 6–103
6.59 Calculated average NGS fraction of total ambient sulfate at Hopi Point for all combinations of SO_2 and sulfate deposition velocities and oxidation rates yielding $R^2 > 0.70$. 6–104
6.60 a: Time histories of the best estimates of ambient sulfate sulfur ($\mu g/m^3$), sulfate sulfur due to NGS ($\mu g/m^3$), and the ratio of NGS/ambient at Hopi Point. 6–105
6.60 b: Time histories of the best estimates of ambient sulfate sulfur ($\mu g/m^3$), sulfate sulfur due to NGS ($\mu g/m^3$), and the ratio of NGS/ambient and their uncertainties at Hopi Point. 6–107
6.61 a. Time histories of the best estimates of ambient SO_2 sulfur ($\mu g/m^3$), SO_2 sulfur due to NGS ($\mu g/m^3$), and the ratio of NGS/ambient at Hopi Point. 6–109
6.61 b. Time histories of the best estimates of ambient SO_2 sulfur ($\mu g/m^3$), SO_2 sulfur due to NGS ($\mu g/m^3$), and the ratio of NGS/ambient and their uncertainties at Hopi Point. 6–111

6.62 a. Time histories of the best estimates of ambient total sulfur ($\mu g/m^3$), total sulfur due to NGS ($\mu g/m^3$), and the ratio of NGS/ambient at Hopi Point. 6–112
6.62 b. Time histories of the best estimates of ambient total sulfur ($\mu g/m^3$), total sulfur due to NGS ($\mu g/m^3$), and the ratio of NGS/ambient and their uncertainties at Hopi Point. 6–113
6.63 Time histories of the best estimates of ambient SO_2 sulfur ($\mu g/m^3$), SO_2 sulfur due to NGS ($\mu g/m^3$), and the ratio of NGS/ambient at Page. 6–115
6.64 Time histories of the best estimates of ambient sulfate sulfur ($\mu g/m^3$), sulfate sulfur due to NGS ($\mu g/m^3$), and the ratio of NGS/ambient at Page. 6–116
6.65 Time histories of the best estimates of ambient total sulfur ($\mu g/m^3$), total sulfur due to NGS ($\mu g/m^3$), and the ratio of NGS/ambient at Page. 6–117
6.66 Source profiles used in the apportionment of $PM_{2.5}$. 6–120
6.67 WHITEX source contributions — $PM_{2.5}$, Hopi Point, Arizona, January 1987. 6–121
6.68 WHITEX source contributions — $PM_{2.5}$, Hopi Point, Arizona, February 1987. . . . 6–122
6.69 WHITEX source contributions — $PM_{2.5}$, Page, Arizona, January 1987. 6–122
6.70 WHITEX source contributions — $PM_{2.5}$, Page, Arizona, February 1987. 6–123
6.71 Time plot of predicted upper limit of NGS contribution to total sulfur at Hopi Point ($540 \times SCD_4$) and measured total sulfur. 6–124
6.72 Time plot of predicted upper limit of NGS contribution to total sulfur at Page (540 $\times$ SCD_4) and measured total sulfur. 6–125
6.73 Relative light scattering coefficients for ammonium sulfate and ammonium nitrate aerosols. 6–126
6.74 Apportionment of non-Rayleigh light extinction at Hopi Point based on the results of the TMBR model and literature extinction efficiencies. 6–128
6.75 Apportionment of light extinction at Page based on the results of the TMBR model method 1 (excess sulfate to NGS) and literature extinction efficiencies. 6–131
6.76 Apportionment of non-Rayleigh light extinction at Page based on the results of the TMBR model method 2 (excess sulfate to sources other than NGS) and literature extinction efficiencies. 6–134