

National Park Service Report
on the
Winter Haze Intensive Tracer Experiment

Final Report
December 4, 1989

Principal Investigators:

William Malm
Kristi Gebhart
Air Quality Division
National Park Service
Fort Collins, CO

Douglas Latimer
Latimer & Associates
Boulder, CO

Thomas Cahill
Robert Eldred
University of California
Davis, CA

Roger Pielke
Roger Stocker
Colorado State University
Fort Collins, CO

John Watson
Desert Research Institute
Reno, NV

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the following organizations and individuals for their contributions to the report:

1. Air Resource Specialists, Inc.
J. Molenaar
R. Tree
J. Winchester
2. Colorado State University
J. Sisler
K. Wittmeyer
3. Desert Research Institute
J. Chow
4. Environmental Protection Agency
M. Pitchford
5. Los Alamos National Laboratory
E. Mroz
6. Santa Fe Research Corporation
J. Trijonis
7. Sonoma Technology, Inc.
J. Anderson
8. University of California, Davis
K. Wilkinson

The authors acknowledge the SCENES Working Group for their guidance in planning and executing the study, and for their sponsorship of the various components of the work:

Prem Bhardwaja, Salt River Project
Robert Farber, Southern California Edison
William Malm, National Park Service
Peter K. Mueller, Electric Power Research Institute
John O'Gara, China Lake Naval Weapons Center
Marc Pitchford, Environmental Protection Agency

NOTICE

This report has been prepared under the direction and management of the National Park Service. The conclusions are those of the principal authors, and do not necessarily represent the views of all SCENES working group members.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1 Introduction: The Design and Implementation of the Winter Haze Intensive Tracer Experiment -WHITEX
- 2 Air Quality Climatology of the WHITEX Study Area
- 3 Optical, Particulate, SO_2 , and CD_4 Measurements
- 4 Discussion of Data
- 5 Light Extinction Budgets
- 6 Attribution of Regional Sulfur and Visibility Impairment
- 7 Deterministic Modeling
- 8 Climate of Extreme Sulfate Episodes
- 9 Summary and Conclusions

APPENDICES

- 3A Description of Sample Collection and Analysis
- 3B Precision and Accuracy of CD_4 and Measurement
- 3C Internal Accuracy and Precision of Particulate Data
- 3D Particulate Concentration Intercomparison
- 3E Precision and Accuracy of SO_2 Measurements and Intercomparisons
- 3F Precision and Accuracy of Optical Measurements
- 3G Regression Plots
- 4A Disaggregation Procedures
- 6A General Mass Balance Model
- 6B Tracer Mass Balance Model Regression (TMBR) Model and Tracer Mass Balance (TMB) Model
- 6C Differential Mass Balance Model
- 6D Chemical Mass Balance Receptor Model
- 6E Wind Vectors
- 6F Upper Air Plots
- 6G Trajectories
- 6H Streaklines

6I Details of Empirical Orthogonal Function Analysis

6J Multi-Response Permutation Procedures

8A Daily Weather Maps-WHITEX Time Period

8A Daily Weather Maps-Historic Weather Maps

Contents

| | | |
|-----|---|------|
| 1. | INTRODUCTION | 1-1 |
| 1.1 | Overview | 1-1 |
| 1.2 | Background | 1-1 |
| 1.3 | Study Plan | 1-3 |
| 1.4 | Interrelationships Between Aerosol, Optical and Visibility Apportionment. | 1-7 |
| 1.5 | Measurement Program | 1-7 |
| 1.6 | Report Outline | 1-12 |

List of Tables

| | | |
|-----|--|------|
| 1.1 | Brief description of the advantages and disadvantages of various receptor techniques examined in the Winter 1986 WHITEX study | 1-5 |
| 1.2 | List of the function associated with each of the monitoring sites | 1-9 |
| 1.3 | List of the optical variables, aerosol species, meteorological variables, and measurement methodologies used at each of the monitoring sites | 1-11 |

List of Figures

- | | | |
|-----|---|------|
| 1.1 | Approximate SO_x emissions from major point sources and urban areas in the southwest United States for 1987 | 1-2 |
| 1.2 | Flow diagram showing the relationship between measurement and apportionment of visibility impairment | 1-8 |
| 1.3 | Map of SCENES WHITEX study area. | 1-10 |